<u>REMARKS</u>

Status Summary

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 9-22, 24-29, 31-33 and 36-42 are pending in the present application. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 9-22, 24-29, 31-33 and 36-42 presently stand rejected. Claims 2, 5, 7, 8, 23, 30, and 34-35 were previously canceled. With this amendment, claims 38 and 42 have been amended. Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 9-10, 13-22, 24-28, 31-33, 36, 37, 40 and 41 stand rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,069,204 to Smith, et al. (hereinafter "Smith"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Independent claim 1 recites a drug delivery device for delivering to a patient a drug composition from a container which contains the drug composition. The container is adapted to be placed in a dispensing mode thereof on application of an actuating condition thereto which is a movement of a first part of the container relative to a second part of the container. Claim 1 recites a dispensing unit adapted to receive the container. The dispensing unit has an actuating mechanism hand-operable to apply the actuating condition to the container, and an outlet through which the drug composition is dispensable from the device. The actuating mechanism is configured to hold the second part of the container stationary and to allow the first part to move relative thereto for dispensing the drug composition from the container. Claim 1 recites a casing unit for the dispensing unit. The casing unit is configured to be movable between a closed state

in which the casing unit covers the outlet, and an open state in which the casing unit uncovers the outlet.

Claim 1 also recites that the dispensing and casing units have securing features for fixedly securing the units together. Additionally, claim 1 recites that the actuating mechanism is hand-operable to apply the actuating condition to the container when the dispensing unit is fixedly secured to the casing unit with the casing unit in the open state, but not the closed state. Claim 1 recites that the securing features are adapted to releasably secure the casing unit and the dispensing unit together so that the casing unit is removable from the dispensing unit. Claim 1 further recites that the dispensing unit is hand-operable to apply the actuating condition to the container when the dispensing unit is independent from the casing unit.

Independent claim 22 recites a method of manufacturing a hand-operated drug delivery device for delivery of a drug formulated in a drug container which is adapted to be placed in a dispensing mode on application of an actuating condition thereto which is a movement of a first part of the container relative to a second part of the container. The method of claim 22 recites providing a dispensing unit for receiving the container with the dispensing unit having an actuating mechanism hand-operable to apply the actuating condition to the container and an outlet through which the drug formulation is dispensed on application of the actuating condition to the container. The actuating mechanism is configured to hold the second part of the container stationary and to allow the first part to move relative thereto for dispensing the drug composition from the container. The method of claim 22 also recites separately providing a casing unit

adapted to fixedly hold the dispensing unit such that the drug is dispensable from the container by the dispensing unit when held by the casing unit. The casing unit is configured to be movable between a closed state in which the casing unit covers the outlet, and an open state in which the casing unit uncovers the outlet.

Additionally, claim 22 recites that the dispensing and casing units have securing features for fixedly securing the units together. Claim 22 recites that the actuating mechanism is hand-operable to apply the actuating condition to the container when the dispensing unit is fixedly secured to the casing unit with the casing unit in the open state, but not the closed state. Claim 22 also recites that the securing features are adapted to releasably secure the casing unit and the dispensing unit together so that the casing unit is removable from the dispensing unit. Claim 22 further recites that the dispensing unit is hand-operable to apply the actuating condition to the container when the dispensing unit is independent from the casing unit.

Applicants respectfully submit that Smith does not anticipate independent claims 1 and 22 or the claims that depend therefrom as Smith does not disclose all the features of independent claims 1 and 22. For example, Smith does not disclose a drug delivery device with a dispensing unit that is configured to be hand-operated both when fixedly secured to the casing unit and when the dispensing unit is independent from the casing unit.

Smith discloses a breath-actuated inhaler as shown in Figures 1-3. The breathactuated inhaler comprises a housing 2, which presents a mouthpiece 4, inside of which is movably disposed an aerosol canister 6. The canister 6 comprises a valve (not

shown) which in turn comprises a valve stem 8 located in a nozzle block 10 defined by the housing 2. (See Smith, column 3, line 65-column 4, line 6.)

Located inside the housing 2 is a breath actuation mechanism which in normal use prevents the inhaler from being used until a patient inhales at the mouthpiece 4. In Figure 1, the breath actuation mechanism is shown in a "blocking position" which prevents the aerosol canister 6 from being depressed toward the nozzle block 10 to open the valve, which would otherwise take place upon application of a force A being applied to the top of the canister 6. (See Smith, column 4, lines 7-21.)

In more detail, the breath actuation mechanism of <u>Smith</u> comprises a pivotable vane **14**. The vane **14** resides in a "blocking position" where it sits in front of the discharge opening **12** of the nozzle block **10** when the vane is in a resting position as shown in Figure 1. When a patient inhales at the mouthpiece **4**, the vane **14** pivots away from the discharge opening **12** to lie against the roof of the mouthpiece **4**, as shown in Figure 2. In this way, the vane **14** does not block discharge of content of the canister **6** through the mouthpiece **4** upon opening of the valve. (<u>See Smith</u>, column 4, lines 7-50.)

Notably, when the vane **14** is at rest in its blocking position, depression of the aerosol canister **6** to the extent needed to open the valve is prevented by a rocker element **15** of the breath actuation mechanism being fixed in position through mechanical interaction of a catch **16**, pivotably mounted on the rocker element **15**, with the vane **14**. Thus, when the inhaler is in its breath-actuated mode it is not possible to

dispense from the aerosol vial before inhalation through the mouthpiece **4**. (See Smith, column 4, lines 14-21.)

However, as shown in Figure 2, when a patient inhales at the mouthpiece 4 to cause the vane 14 to pivot against the roof of the mouthpiece 4, the mechanical interaction between the catch 16 and the vane 14 is "broken" whereby the rocker element 15 can freely move to an unblocking position when the canister 6 is moved towards the nozzle block 10 in response to application of a downward force A thereto (See Smith, column 4, lines 22-34.)

With reference to Figures 4 and 5, the breath-actuated inhaler is detachably mountable inside an outer protective casing 34. As shown in Figure 4, for instance, the protective casing 34 is provided with a cocking spring 48 which extends between an inside surface of the casing 34 and the top of the canister 6. The protective casing 34 comprises a movable cover 38 for the mouthpiece 4. As shown in Figure 5, when the movable cover 38 is moved from a mouthpiece covering position to a mouthpiece opening position, a cam 42 on the movable cover 38 moves the breath-actuated inhaler upwardly in the protective casing 34 and loads the cocking spring 48. (See Smith, column 5, lines 5-44.)

The cocking spring **48** cannot push the canister **6** downwardly towards the nozzle block **10** as the breath actuation mechanism is in its blocking position. However, as described above, when a patient inhales at the mouthpiece **4**, the breath actuation mechanism moves to its unblocking position thereby releasing the loading force in the cocking spring **48** to push the canister **6** downwards to release content therefrom.

The inability of the loaded cocking spring 48 to depress the canister 6 until a patient inhales at the mouthpiece 4 guarantees coordination of release of content from the canister 6 with patient inhalation.

Smith states as one of its advantages that the breath-actuated inhaler has the ability to work in the breath actuated mode both inside and outside of the protective casing **34**. (See Smith, column 2, lines 30-33.) When the inhaler is out of the protective casing **34**, a patient can manually apply the force **A** to the base of the canister **6** and this force will only cause actuation of the inhaler if a patient concurrently inhales at the mouthpiece **4**.

In addition, when the breath-actuated inhaler is outside the protective casing 34 it can also be put into a "press-and-breathe" mode where it is used without the breath actuation mechanism. As will be seen in Figure 3, the housing 2 of the inhaler carries a switch 22 which can be moved so as to deflect the catch 16 away from its connection with the vane 14 and thereby move the breath actuation mechanism to its unblocking position. Once the switch 22 has been so moved, the breath actuation mechanism provides no hindrance to downward movement of the canister 6 in response to manual application of a downward force A thereto. A difficulty will arise, however, if the patient does not inhale in coordination with this downward movement of the canister 6, otherwise the vane 14 will still be in its normal rest position covering the discharge opening 12 of the nozzle block 10. (See Smith, column 4, lines 35-53.)

Smith discloses and teaches that when the breath-actuated inhaler is located in the outer protective casing **34**, the inhaler is automatically converted to its breath-

actuated mode, even if it is in the press-and-breathe mode, upon closing the movable cover 38 after loading the inhaler into the casing 34. As can be understood from Figure 4, a flange 25 on the cover 38 acts on the switch 22 to ensure it is in the breath-actuated position. (See Smith, column 5, lines 40-44.)

Therefore, as can be taken from the detailed description above, <u>Smith</u> is concerned with a breath-actuated inhaler which can be used in its breath actuated mode both inside and outside of a protective casing. Moreover, when the breath-actuated inhaler is outside of its protective casing, the breath actuation mechanism can be disengaged so that it can be actuated in "press-and-breathe" mode. However, when the inhaler is in the protective casing, it can only operate in a breath-actuated mode that requires the patient to breathe in to create the actuating condition of movement of a first part of the vial relative to a second part.

As described above, claims 1 and 22 of the present application recite that the dispensing unit has an actuating mechanism which is hand-operable to apply an actuating condition to the container when the dispensing unit is fixedly secured to the casing unit with the casing unit in the open state, but not the closed state. The actuating condition is movement of a first part of the container relative to a second part, and the actuating mechanism is configured to hold the second part of the container stationary and to allow the first part to move relative thereto for dispensing a drug composition from the container.

Smith does not appear to disclose an actuating mechanism which is <u>hand-operable to apply</u> the actuating condition to the aerosol canister ("container") when the

breath-actuated inhaler is fixed in the protective casing ("casing unit") with the casing in the open state. As stated above, when the breath-actuated inhaler of Smith is mounted in the protective casing, the actuating condition (downward force) is applied to the container by the cocking spring as a direct result of inhalation at the mouthpiece releasing the breath actuation mechanism. Breath operation causes the actuating condition, not hand operation as required by claims 1 and 22 of the present application. Thus, Smith fails to disclose, teach, or suggest each and every feature of independent claims 1 and 22 of the present application.

For the reasons outlined above, applicants respectfully submit that <u>Smith</u> fails to anticipate claims 1 and 22 of the present application. Claims 3, 4, 6, 9-10, 13-21, 36, 37, 40 and 41 depend from claim 1. Claims 24-28 and 31-33 depend from claim 22. As such, applicants respectfully submit that the rejections of claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 9-10, 13-22, 24-28, 31-33, 36, 37, 40 and 41 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) should be withdrawn and the claims allowed at this time.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 21, 38, 39, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Smith</u>. Claims 11-12 and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Smith</u> in view of International Patent Publication No. WO 98/56444 to <u>Rand et al.</u> (hereinafter, "<u>Rand</u>"). These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claim 21 depend from claim 1. As described above, <u>Smith</u> does not disclose, teach, or suggest all the features of claim 1. For example, <u>Smith</u> does not disclose,

teach or suggest a drug delivery device with a dispensing unit that is configured to be hand-operated both when fixedly secured to the casing unit and when the dispensing unit is independent from the casing unit. In Smith, when the breath-actuated inhaler is disposed within the protective casing, whether or not the aerosol canister protrudes from the protective casing, the actuating condition is still only applied through inhalation, not hand-operation, because the breath actuation mechanism is in its blocking position until the patient inhales at the mouthpiece. Thus, claim 21 is not rendered obvious by Smith.

Claims 11-12 depend from claim 1 and claims 28-29 depend from claim 22. As stated above, Smith does not disclose, teach, or suggest all the features of claims 1 and 22. Rand does not overcome the significant shortcomings of Smith. Rand discloses a dispenser with a dose indicator therein. Rand, as with Smith, does not disclose, teach, or suggest, for example, a drug delivery device with a dispensing unit that is configured to be hand-operated both when fixedly secured to the casing unit and when the dispensing unit is independent from the casing unit. Thus, claims 11-12 and 28-29 are not rendered obvious by Smith.

Claims 38 and 42 have been rewritten into independent format to include the features of claim 1 and the other claims from which they originally depended. Further, Claims 38 and 42 have been amended to include that the first part of the container is inaccessible to the patient's digit when the casing unit is in its closed state. Claim 39 depends from claim 38. As stated above, Smith does not disclose, teach or suggest a drug delivery device with a dispensing unit that is configured to be hand-operated both

when fixedly secured to the casing unit and when the dispensing unit is independent from the casing unit.

Further, with respect to the embodiment cited by the Examiner, <u>Smith</u> does not disclose, teach or suggest that the aerosol canister is accessible to a patient's digit when the protective casing is opened, but inaccessible to the patient's digit when the protective casing is closed. In most of the embodiments of Smith, the aerosol canister is inaccessible to the patient's digits when the protective casing is opened or closed. In the embodiment cited by the Examiner as providing accessibility when the protective casing is opened, the aerosol canister, through its protrusion through the protective casing, would be accessible both in the closed and open states of the outer protective casing.

Smith discloses modifications to the breath-actuated inhaler in Figures 8-10. In Figures 8a and 8b, the cocking spring 86 is provided between the inside surface of the protective casing 34 and a flange 84 on a shroud 82 for the aerosol canister 6. In Figures 9a and 9b, an alternative cocking mechanism is shown in which an upper portion 90 of the protective casing is rotated about the inhaler axis to compress the cocking spring 48. Figures 10a and 10b incorporate the features of Figures 8 and 9. (See Smith, column 5, line 63 – column 6, line 51.)

As cited by the Examiner, with reference to Figures 8a, 8b, 10a, and 10b, <u>Smith</u> discloses that the shroud **82** can be dispensed with and replaced by a circumferential flange extending around the aerosol canister (vial) **6** against which the cocking spring **86** will act. (<u>See Smith</u>, column 6, lines 52-63.) When read in conjunction with column 3,

lines 24-27 of Smith, the canister 6 may extend through the protective casing in this embodiment.

Therefore, with a circumferential flange around the aerosol canister instead of a shroud, it is clear that the aerosol canister would be accessible by a patient's digits both when the protective casing is opened and when the protective casing is closed. Thus, with respect to the embodiment cited by the Examiner, Smith does not disclose, teach, or suggest that that the aerosol canister is accessible to a patient's digit when the protective casing is opened, but inaccessible to the patient's digit when the protective casing is closed. Therefore, for the above reasons, claims 38, 39 and 42 are not rendered obvious by Smith.

For the reasons set forth above, claims 11, 12, 21, 28, 29, 38, 39, and 42 are not rendered obvious by the cited references. Accordingly, applicants respectfully submit that the rejections of claims 11, 12, 21, 28, 29, 38, 39, and 42 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) should be withdrawn and the claims allowed at this time.

CONCLUSION

In light of the above remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present

application is now in proper condition for allowance, and an early notice to such effect is

earnestly solicited.

If any small matter should remain outstanding after the Patent Examiner has had

an opportunity to review the above Remarks, the Patent Examiner is respectfully

requested to telephone the undersigned patent attorney in order to resolve these

matters and avoid the issuance of another Official Action.

DEPOSIT ACCOUNT

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated

with the filing of this correspondence to Deposit Account No. 50-0426.

Respectfully submitted,

JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P.A.

Date: November 4, 2008

By:

Registration No. 36,058

Customer No: 25297

(919) 493-8000